அதிகாரிகள் இனிமேல் சாக்கு சொல்லக்கூடும். இப்படிச்சொல்லி பொறுப்பைத்தட்டிக்கழித்துவிடக்கூடாது. மந்திரி அவர்கள் தக்க நடவடிக்கை எடுத்து தண்ணீர்க் கஷ்டத்தைப் போக்கவேண்டும். பாலையூர் கால்வாய் விஷயமாக சென்ற வருஷம் நடந்த நீர்ப்பாசன அரை வருஷ மகா நாட்டில் அதுபற்றிய குறைகளை ரயத்துக்கள் தெரியப்படுத்தினார்கள். பயிர்களில் கதிர் வரும் நிலையில் நூற்றுக்கணக்கான ஏக்கராக்களிலிருந்த பயிர் நாசமாய்விட்டது. அறுவடைக்கு வரக்கூடிய நேரத்தில் கஷ்டப்பட வேண்டிய நிலைமை ஏற்பட்டிருக்கிறது. இப்படிப்பட்ட நிலைமை ஏற்படாமல் சரியான நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கவேண்டுமென்று மந்திரி அவர்களுக்குத் தெரிவித்துக்கொள்ளுகின்றேன்."

* THE HON. SRI R. SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI :—"The daily water reports received in the Chief Engineer's (Irrigation) office indicated that the issues to the new areas under Grand Anicut Canal and Vadavar extension channel were gradually being increased consistent with the progress of cultivation and that the supply position was very satisfactory.

"The Executive Engineer, Grand Anicut Canal, has received minor complaints about inadequate supply from ayacutdars, under some of the tail end channels under Grand Anicut Canal, who had started irrigation on patches of land in the ayacut and not any large-scale complaints. It has been reported that there was some difficulty to take the water to the extreme tail ends due to complete absence of rains, scattered nature of the cultivation during that time and the porous nature of the soil in the locality. The upper ryots are also reported to have been interfering with the free flow of water in the channels and this enhanced the difficulty in satisfying the tail end demands. Regular irrigation has been started only just now and supplies are being given right up to the tail end. Although the F.S.L. supply has not been realized at the tail end owing to the factors mentioned above, the situation is now improved and there is no deficiency after recent rains. As a result of timely action by the local officers there has been no damage to crops on account of inadequate supply of water to the areas at the tail end of both Vadavar extension channel and Grand Anicut Canal. It will therefore be seen that the agricultural operations have not been handicapped due to inadequate supply of water."

IV.—PUBLICATION OF PROCEEDINGS OF THE SECRET SESSION OF THE HOUSE *RE* PRIVILEGE MOTION ABOUT THE ARREST OF AN HON. MEMBER.

* THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" Sir, I move—

'*That the proceedings of the Madras Legislative Assembly on Thursday, the 10th February 1955, regarding the discussion on the privilege motion in connection with the arrest of Sri T. C. Narayanan Nambiar, an hon. Member of this House, which was held in secret, be no longer treated as secret.*'

25th August 1955] [Sri C. Subramaniam]

" The case has been disposed of, and therefore there is no longer any need for treating the proceedings as secret."

SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP :—" While I agree to the motion, I would like to know for future guidance either from the Leader of the House or from the Chair as to the practice that is generally followed either in the Lok Sabha or in Parliament with regard to such proceedings. We hold some secret sittings. But the proceedings relating to them are printed and distributed to all Members and I think any outsider can get a copy of the proceedings on payment of cost. I would like to know the procedure in the Lok Sabha or Parliament and, if necessary, we can incorporate in the Assembly Rules a rule in respect of this matter."

* THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" Sir, the same procedure is followed in the Lok Sabha. There is no difference. When it is said that it is for official use and use of the Members only and it is a confidential thing, no paper will publish it even though it may get into their hands." 9–40 a.m.

* SRI P. K. GOPALAKRISHNAN :—" Sir, the Hon. Minister informed the House that the case is no longer pending. But, as far as our information goes, there were certain technical difficulties for the Court to proceed with the case and they withdrew the case to remove all those technical difficulties. As the same matter is still pending in a court of law, the objection raised by the Hon. Leader of the House in the first instance still will be there. The Hon. Leader of the House at the first instance said that the House should sit *in camera* as otherwise the proceedings of the House, if and when printed, will prejudice the decision of the Court. Even now the same case, after the removal of the technical difficulties, is pending in a court of law. That is my information. If, however, the Hon. Leader of the House is pleased to inform the House that the case has been once for all withdrawn, I will have no objection to support the motion."

* THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" Sir, I have no information about the subsequent case to which the hon. Member has made mention. But, we were concerned with a particular case which was *sub judice* at the time when the privilege motion was first discussed, and that case has now been disposed of. Therefore there is no need for treating the proceedings of the House on the privilege motion as secret. Hence I have moved my motion."

(Sri S. Ramalingam rose in his seat.)

DEPUTY SPEAKER :—" You have no right of reply."

SRI S. RAMALINGAM :—" Sir, only on a . . ."

DEPUTY SPEAKER :—" Order, order. The hon. Member must resume his seat when the Chair is on its legs."

SRI S. RAMALINGAM :—" Sir, on a point of explanation . . ."

DEPUTY SPEAKER :—" What is it that the hon. Member is going to explain? "

* SRI S. RAMALINGAM :—" The Hon. Minister said that no case is pending. But, I wish to tell him that the case having the very same number is still pending as a Police case. It is being conducted and notice of summons has also been received. That being the case, I do not know how the Hon. Minister says that no case is pending."

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" Sir, I have no idea about it."

DEPUTY SPEAKER :—" The question is—

'That the proceedings of the Madras Legislative Assembly on Thursday, the 10th February 1955, regarding the discussion on the privilege motion in connexion with the arrest of Sri T. C. Narayanan Nambiar, an hon. Member of this House, which was held in secret be no longer treated as secret.' "

The motion was put and carried.

V.—DRAFT SECOND FIVE-YEAR PLAN (DISCUSSION—*ocnt.*)

* SRI B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA :—" Mr. Deputy Speaker, the deliberations of the House and the subject-matter on which we are deliberating are of utmost importance and they are of lasting value. I would therefore appeal to all the hon. Members of this House to approach the question with an amount of sympathy and understanding as this is one of the most constructive proposals that has ever been placed before a House like this. On the deliberations, conclusions and decisions that we take will depend to a large extent the future progress of our State. I therefore wish to say again that these deliberations will be looked upon by the future generation as to how their predecessors dealt with subjects of vast importance. Sir, it is needless for me to say that this Second Five-Year Plan is following the First Five-Year Plan. The First Five-Year Plan was very much criticized as lacking, the approach being wrong in very many particulars. The criticisms died down and the achievements of the First Five-Year Plan would reflect very great credit to the Nation. In particular, Madras State has done exceedingly well and has earned the approbation of all sound critics. It is in that light that we are embarking upon the discussion over the Second Five-Year Plan. Sir, hon. Members of this House are fully aware as to what great importance we attach to the deliberations of the annual budget. Every year, what the Government propose to do in the coming year is said with great care and detail in the budget. When compared with the annual budget, I should say that the Second Five-Year Plan is something